

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Neuschutz et al

Serial No.: 09/876,227

Filed: June 8, 2001

Examiner: Nihir B. Patel

Group Art Unit: 3743

Reconsideration
#10
10/219/02
Strever

Title: USE OF PCMS IN HEAT SINKS FOR ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS

REPLY I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Services as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed To: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks,

Washington, D.C. 20231 On:

Signature: Date:

Washington, D.C. 20231

**Assistant Commissioner for Patents** 

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated July 17, 2002, Applicants hereby respond with the RECEIVED

following remarks.

OUT 2 5 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

## **REMARKS**

The issues outstanding in the Office Action mailed July 17, 2002, are the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, 102 and 103. Reconsideration of these issues, in view of the following discussion, is respectfully requested.

## Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §112

Claims 1, 6-11 and 14-16 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. In each of the issues enumerated at page 4 and 5 of the Office Action, it is argued that there is no antecedent basis for various terms. This rejection is not understood, inasmuch as each of the objected to terms finds proper antecedent basis in the claim preamble and/or in a claim on which a rejected claim depends. For example, in claim 1, it is argued that there is no antecedent basis for "the phase